

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/018,117	GUDERIAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cephia D. Toomer	1714	

All Participants:

(1) Cephia D. Toomer.

(2) Edward Freedman.

Date of Interview: 9 February 2004

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

5,7,17,18,25

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

DE 41416576

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Mr. Freedman that some of the claims that were objected to in the prior office action should have been rejected under 35 USC 103(a). The examiner invited Mr. Freedman to cancel these claims. Mr. Freedman indicated that he would need to contact his clients before any action could be taken. The examiner stated that she would re-open prosecution and send out a new office action which addresses the claims in question..